

Form 5 Submission on notified proposal for policy statement or plan,
change or variation

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Whakatāne District Council

Name of submitter: GRAHAM MURRAY KEEPA
[full name]

This is a submission on the following change proposed to the plan:

Whakatāne District Plan, Plan Change 2 - 23 and 45 Keepa Road

Trade Competition

I ~~could~~ could not* gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.
[*Select one]

If you could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission, please answer the following:

I am/am not* directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that—

- (a) adversely affects the environment; and
- (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

[*Select one]

The specific provisions of the proposal that my submission relates to are [give details]:

My submission is: [include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended; and reasons for your views]

TO OPPOSE THE SPECIFIC PROVISIONS.

WHAKATANE DISTRICT COUNCIL
09 APR 2018

SK

I seek the following decision from the local authority: *[give precise details]*

Hearing submissions

I wish/~~do not wish~~* to be heard in support of my submission. *[*Select one]*

If others make a similar submission, I will/will not* consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing. *[*Select one]*



Signature of submitter (or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter)

Date: 09.04.2018

Contact Details

Electronic address for service of submitter: _____

Telephone: 0211773793

Postal address: 8 GADD PLACE, AWATAPU,
WHAKATANE

Contact person: _____

[name and designation, if applicable]

Note to person making submission

If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

"NO TO RESIDENTIAL"

① KNOWLEDGE OF PROXIMITY DAMAGED SIGHT

② OUTSIDE COMMUNITY HAS BEEN

EXPOSED TO IT.

③ I KNOW THAT THE DRINKING USE

TO GET THE KOREAN CAPITAL FROM THE

SOUTH BANK AND DUMP IT ON THE LAND.

④ ALL UNSTABLE ROADS AROUND BRIDGE

PARSON, PARSONS HAD PIP, WOOD WASTE

WOUND STRIPPED ON THEM TO KEEP THE

DUST DOWN.

⑤ NO HEALTHY SPACES FOR THE COMMUNITY

THAT BEEN COMPARED.

⑥ ALTHOUGH ENVIRONMENT STANDARDS

DON'T APPLY FOR WHAKARANGI, BECAUSE OF

HIGH LEVELS OF PROXIMITY RESIDENCES.

⑦ NOISE POLLUTION.