

Form 6 Further submission in support of, or in opposition to, submission
on notified proposed policy statement or plan, change or variation

Clause 8 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

To: Whakatāne District Council

Name of person making further submission: **Christopher Clarke**

This is a further submission in support of (or in opposition to) a submission on the following
change proposed to the plan, (the **proposal**):

Whakatāne District Plan, Plan Change 1 (Awatarariki Fanhead, Matatā)

I am the environmental consultant for Te Mana o Ngati Rangitahi Trust, Matata

I support the submission of: **Ngati Awa**

The particular parts of the submission I support are: **The submission in its entirety.**

The reasons for my support are: **That the risk to lives is high.**

I seek that the whole of the submission be allowed:

Hearing submissions:

I wish to be heard in support of my further submission. [*Select one]

If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at a
hearing. [*Select one]

Signature of person making further submission:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making further submission)

Date: 11 December 2018

(A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means)

Contact Details:

Electronic address for service of person making further submission:

kaitiaki@ngatirangitahi.iwi.nz

Telephone: 027 557 4457

Postal address PO Box 48, Te Teko

Contact person: Christopher Clarke

Note to person making further submission

A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is
served on the local authority.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- it is frivolous or vexatious:
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- it contains offensive language:
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.